

42nd Annual Conference - BIG LEAGUE BENEFITS

May 24-26 2017 • Marriott Marquis Houston

How to Avoid ERISA Litigation: Best Practices and Timely Tips

Mark Bodron, Baker Botts L.L.P., Pat Hajovsky, BP & Howard Shapiro, Proskauer Rose LLP



Introduction

- Bad things can happen to well intentioned ERISA plan fiduciaries, because the pace of class action ERISA Litigation is accelerating.
- What are ERISA fiduciary duties?
- What should an ERISA plan fiduciary do to comply with those ERISA fiduciary duties (to avoid those "bad things")?
- What protections are available for an ERISA plan fiduciary in the event of a fiduciary breach?
- How does this work in practice?
- Take aways.



ERISA Litigation: The Beat Goes On

- 401(k) Plan employer stock fund class actions. Various theories alleging the employer stock fund is an imprudent Plan investment.
 - Violates duty of prudence.
 - Violates duty of loyalty.
 - Failure to provide material (often inside) information about company.
 - Conflict of interest as to why fiduciaries retain employer stock fund.
- 401(k) Plan fee class actions.
 - Fees paid by Plan participants for the fund are too high compared to bench-marks.
 - For financial entities, funds are proprietary.
 - The fund's performance is too low compared to bench-marks.
 - Emphasis on passively managed funds as the preferred alternatives.



401(k) Plan Stock Drop Class Actions

- Prior lead case: Moench v. Robertson, 62 F.3d 553, 571 (3rd Cir. 1995).
 - Fiduciaries presumed to act prudently when they offer employees the option to invest in employer stock unless company's viability as a going concern is in doubt or other "dire circumstances" are present.
- Current controlling Supreme Court case law: Fifth Third Bancorp v. Dudenhoeffer, 134 S. Ct. 2459 (2014).
- Dudenhoeffer explains burden on fiduciaries where the allegations involve publicly known information and material, nonpublic information.



401(k) Plan Stock Drop Class Actions

- Since Dudenhoeffer was decided in June 2014, approximately 30 employer stock drop class actions have been filed.
 - Some have been dismissed.
 - Many have been settled.
- Legal spend for defense of 401(k) Plan stock drop class actions anywhere from \$1 million to \$5 million, depending on discovery.
 - Plus fees for expert witnesses and actuaries/economists.
- Settlements in the past are as high as \$100 million.
- *Dudenhoeffer* opinion has brought down settlement amounts, but there are still many 8 figure settlements; *i.e.*, \$10 million \$99 million.



Fee Litigation – Sample Claims

- Plan's investment funds offered imprudent investment options.
- Fees charged were higher than fees of comparable funds.
- Failure to take into account revenue-sharing fees paid by mutual fund managers to record keepers and other vendors.
- Offering proprietary funds as investment options.
 - Allegation Prohibited Transaction because such proprietary funds are offered because it is in the interest of the financial entity, not the participants.
- Offering retail share class mutual funds instead of institutional share class funds.
- Offering more actively managed funds instead of index funds.

42nd Annual Conference · BIG LEAGUE BENEFITS

May 24-26 2017 • Marriott Marquis Houston

Proprietary Fee Cases

Charles Schwab	Wells Fargo & Co.
Allianz	BB&T
Prudential	Deutsche Bank Americas
M&T Bank	Morgan Stanley
American Century Services	New York Life
Neuberger Berman Group	Edward D. Jones & Company
JP Morgan Chase & Company	Franklin Resources



Fee Cases Against Major Universities

Columbia University	Vanderbilt
NYU	Emory
Cornell	Yale
MIT	Johns Hopkins
Duke	Northwestern
U.S.C.	University of Pennsylvania
Excessive administrative fees	Excessive management fees/performance losses



Fee Cases: Settlements

- Defendants' Attorney's Fees for these cases anywhere from \$1 million to \$5 million. Some cases are more expensive.
- Settlements range from:
 - \$140 million Haddock v. Nationwide (D. Conn. 2015)
 - \$62 million Abbott v. Lockheed Martin (S.D. III. 2015)
 - \$57 million *Spano v. Boeing* (S.D. III. 2016)
 - \$22.5 million *In Re Regions Morgan Keegan* (W.D. Tenn. 2009)
- Cases are expensive to defend and expensive to resolve.



- ERISA defines a fiduciary as any party who exercises any discretionary authority or control over the management of a plan, management or disposition of plan assets or administration of the plan
 - Includes a party that renders investment advice for a fee or other compensation, direct or indirect, with respect to plan money or property
- Fiduciary status is based on, and extends to, functions performed for the plan
 - Functional test a party can be a fiduciary regardless of title
- ERISA plan can have multiple fiduciaries, but at a minimum there must be at least one "named fiduciary" that administers the plan
 - Some plans have two named fiduciaries: administrative committee and investment committee



- Generally, the plan sponsor will be the ERISA named fiduciary if there is no committee
 - This means members of the plan sponsor's board of directors are ERISA fiduciaries
 - Having a committee serve as the ERISA fiduciary rather than the plan sponsor insulates the board from ERISA fiduciary liability and makes it easier to maintain settlor versus fiduciary distinction
- Settlor vs. Fiduciary
 - Fiduciary is one who has or exercises discretionary authority, control or responsibility over the management, investment or administration of a plan
 - Settlor (non-fiduciary) functions are typically considered "business functions or decisions," such as when establishing a plan, deciding who is covered, determining what benefits are to be provided, and amending or terminating a plan



- Exclusive Purpose Rule
 - Fiduciary must discharge its duties for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to participants and beneficiaries and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the plan
 - Fiduciaries wear "two hats" (i.e., as plan fiduciary and as company officer/employee) and can only wear one hat at a time
 - Fiduciaries must be sensitive to and acknowledge this potential conflict
 - Fiduciaries must be sensitive to and aware of whether they are acting as a fiduciary if acting as a fiduciary, they must act in the interest of participants, even if contrary to the interests of the plan sponsor or employer



- Prudence Rule
 - Fiduciary must discharge its duties with the care, skill, prudence and diligence that a prudent man would use under similar circumstances
 - ERISA prudence is largely procedural
 - Were the right questions asked?
 - Was expert assistance sought?
 - Was the fiduciary diligent?
 - Fiduciary need not be "right" but it must be diligent



- Diversification Rule
 - Fiduciary must diversify plan investments to minimize the risk of large losses, unless under the circumstances it is clearly prudent not to do so
 - Diversification is essential for ERISA Section 404(c) protection for the plan
 - Exception for employer stock under ERISA Section 407
 - Investment policy statement can assist with compliance
- Plan Document Rule
 - Fiduciary must follow the terms of the plan (and trust) to the extent they are consistent with ERISA
 - If the plan (or trust) terms are not consistent with ERISA, fiduciary must disregard the terms of the plan (or trust) to the extent necessary to comply with ERISA



Fiduciary Breach

- Whether and to what extent a fiduciary is liable under ERISA will depend on:
 - The fiduciary's conduct under the circumstances
 - Whether such conduct satisfied the ERISA fiduciary standards of conduct
 - What loss or other harm has occurred as a result of any breach of those fiduciary duties

42nd Annual Conference - BIG LEAGUE BENEFITS

May 24-26 2017 • Marriott Marquis Houston

Fiduciary Breach

- Breach of direct fiduciary responsibilities
- Breach of co-fiduciary responsibilities (indirect liability)
- Liability for non-fiduciary acts
- Fiduciary liability might arise when:
 - Fiduciary is not aware of its duties
 - Fiduciary does not carry out its duties
 - Fiduciary does not obtain needed expert advice
 - Fails to monitor the actions of other parties that are providing services to the plan and to ensure that fees and expenses paid with plan assets are reasonable

42nd Annual Conference - BIG LEAGUE BENEFITS

May 24-26 2017 • Marriott Marquis Houston

Fiduciary Breach

- Plans may not contain exculpatory provisions that relieve fiduciary from fiduciary responsibility or liability
- Plan sponsor's by-laws generally indemnify officers and employees serving at the direction of the company to the extent authorized under law of state of incorporation
 - Includes liabilities related to ERISA excise taxes/penalties or amounts paid in settlement
- May purchase insurance or provide indemnification for losses



ERISA Procedural Compliance

Procedural Compliance – It's All About the Process!

- ERISA is not clear about the manner in which to meet these goals
- Committee must be active in a manner to meets the ERISA fiduciary duties
 - Committee Charter
 - Investment Policy Statement
 - Regular meetings
 - Understanding fees changed to plan and participants and watching for potential conflicts of interest
 - Documentation (minutes) of Committee due diligence and decisions



Practical Process Considerations

- Who is capable of serving on fiduciary committees?
 - Did you elect the janitor or someone with knowledge of Company processes?
 - Are they aware they could (will) be sued individually?
 - Comes as a shock, particularly if you have non-US ownership.
 - Does the person have access to inside company information?
 - Stock drop lawsuits are even more expensive if a Committee member was actually aware that a divestment, drawdown or other corporate action is imminent.
 - How much "investment advice" are these folks giving to employees?
 - Do you want to name an attorney to the Committee?
 - With all these rules, it would seem to be a good idea, but the Attorney Client privilege is likely moot if you take that route.



May 24-26 2017 • Marriott Marquis Houston

Train Train

- Do not presume that committee members are experts whether at the beginning, middle or end.
 - They might *think* they're experts, especially as they get more experience, but be cautious. Ask them, are they so expert that they would like to testify in a lawsuit?
- Establish ongoing fiduciary training for committee members.
 - Keep records of the training
 - Take a roll call.
 - Should the training be conducted under privilege?



Moench Presumption legacy

- Lawsuits abound if you have company stock in your 401(k), but it is popular with employees (and execs).
 - But, this just about automatically creates a tension between duties of prudence, loyalty and diversification.
 - Even though diversification duty is exempt where company stock is concerned, where there's smoke, plaintiff's attorneys start opening up the marshmallow packages.
 - This tension is the main reason Moench gave way to Dudenhoeffer.
- Even so, the Moench process can set you free.
 - Step One: Hardwire the fact of company stock into the plan documents.
 - Pro: It's a Settlor act to have that investment. Con: Did you really think that would inoculate you from oversight of the stock's performance?
 - Step Two: Appoint an Independent Fiduciary to constantly review whether having that stock is a good idea.
 - Pro: Takes the decision out of the hands of company representatives, who might be "in the know".
 Con: Reduce control over investment options, particularly if a company exec is emotionally invested in his/her own stock.
 - Dudenhoeffer bottom line: If good process is followed, Dudenhoeffer can be better than Moench since can't show a reasonable person would act any differently.

42nd Annual Conference - BIG LEAGUE BENEFITS

May 24-26 2017 • Marriott Marquis Houston

Take Aways

- To limit potential fiduciary liability, a fiduciary should:
 - Be informed by asking questions and seeking the advise of experts when needed
 - Be familiar with applicable governing plan documents
 - Be familiar with ERISA's fiduciary duties and requirements, which includes periodic fiduciary training
 - Stay apprised of and monitor co-fiduciary and service provider actions
 - Be clear in minutes regarding due diligence surrounding the selection and monitoring of investment funds and decisions regarding fees
 - Follow the Charter
- Remember it is all about process
- Questions?